ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE IN EUROPE

REPORT OF THE REPUBLIC OF LATVIA

Questionnaire on the inventory and typology of review by the courts of administrative authorities in the 25 Member States of the European Union

Preliminary Questions

1. Could you give the main dates in the evolution of the review of decisions and acts of Administrative authorities?

The Republic of Latvia was formed in 1918.

The administrative control over the Administrative authorities was instituted by the Law on Administrative Courts in March 4, 1921. According to this law the power of administrative justice was held by the magistrates, regional courts and the Supreme Court Senate. The courts overviewed the protestations and plaints of government officials who were responsible for managing of local government's decisions. Besides, the courts decided on the offences of the law or ordinances, on the cases concerned with employment of mandate, avoidance of the obligations, etc.

After Latvia was incorporated in the USSR in 1940, the reform of the court system begun – the magistrates were reformed as "nation courts", regional courts rested as regional courts, the Supreme Court Senate was abolished and the court chambers in the Supreme Court was instituted.

Until the 80th of the 20th century it was not possible to make claims against the State. Only in beginning of 80th Chapter 24-A was incorporated in the Civil Procedure Code of Soviet Latvia. According to this Chapter a person could bring an action against the State official or local government official in the court if the rights of the person were injured, i.e., if the person was abnegated to realize the rights granted by the law or if a duty was laid on the person.

Only after Latvia had regained its independence it was possible to institute a new court system corresponding the principles of democracy. The three-stage court system was again instituted. Despite that the administrative courts began their work only in 2004, until that people could bring actions against the State officials and local government officials in the civil courts. The justification for such rights was Civil Procedure Law (the transitional paragraph Nr. 1), the Chapter 24-A of the Civil Procedure Code (which was applicable with many changes in Latvia after the brake-up of the USSR) and the Administrative Violations Code.

Since February 1, 2004 (the date, when Administrative Procedure Law came into force), the decisions and acts of Administrative authorities are reviewed by Administrative District Court, Administrative Regional Court and the Administrative Matters Department of Supreme

Court Senate which work according to Administrative Procedure Law and Administrative Violations Code.

2. Does the review by the courts of administrative acts and actions aim to submit administrative authorities to law and protect individual rights, in other words to the rule of law? Alternatively, is it only a review of the good functioning of the administration?

The administration of the State is subordinated to the rule of law. Under Section 2 of Administrative Procedure Law the aim of the review of administrative acts and actions by the courts is following:

1) to ensure the observance of basic democratic, law-governed state principles, especially human rights, in specific public legal relations between the State and a private person;

2) to subject actions of executive power relating to specific public legal relations between the State and a private person to the control of an independent, impartial and competent judicial power; and

3) to ensure just, accurate and effective application of the norms of law in public legal relations.

3. What is the definition of an administrative authority in your country? Does this definition include all public legal entities and private legal entities exercising public authority?

There is a definition of administrative authority, which is formulated as a term "institution", in Administrative Procedure Law. Under Section 1 Paragraph 1 of Administrative Procedure Law an institution is a legal entity (an authority, a unit or an official) on which specific public authority powers in the field of State administration have been conferred by a regulatory enactment or public law contract.

The term "institution" covers following administrative authorities: 1) institutions of direct administration which represent the Republic of Latvia; 2) derived public person – a local government or other public person established by law or on the basis of law; 3) a private individual or another public person to whom the administrative tasks as include the taking of administrative decisions are delegated; 4) official persons which are authorized to make public (administrative) decisions.

The term "institution" does not cover the constitutional institutions which take constitutional and political decisions.

4. Is there a classification of administrative acts in your country?

In Latvia the classification of *public acts* is the following:

1. unilateral acts:

   1.1. externally directed acts:

      a) normative acts;

      b) individual acts – administrative acts and acts which are concerned with execution of court judgment.

1.2. internal acts – the administration's prescriptions and individual acts – internal orders.

2) bilateral acts – contracts which are governed by public law.

Administrative act should be directed externally, which is issued by an institution in an area of public law with regard to an individually indicated person or individually indicated persons establishing, altering, determining or terminating specific legal relations or determining an actual situation.

Decisions or other types of actions of an institution in the sphere of private law, and internal decisions which affect only the institution itself, bodies subordinate to it or persons specially subordinated to it, are not administrative acts. Political decisions (political announcements, declarations, invitations, election of officials, and similar) by the Parliament, the President, the Cabinet or local government city councils (district and parish councils), as well as decisions regarding criminal proceedings and court adjudications are also not administrative acts. Similarly preparatory acts do not fall within the term of an administrative act.

I – WHO REVIEWS ADMINISTRATIVE ACTS ?

A – COMPETENT BODIES

5. Is the review of administrative acts undertaken by general bodies related to the administrative authorities, and similar to courts?

The control of general bodies is ensured by the administrative courts, which are distinguished from the institutions. However the right to submit an application before the court a person acquires after his/her complaint or application is reviewed by the higher administrative authority.

6. Could you describe the organization of the court system in your country, indicating which courts or tribunals are competent to hear disputes concerning acts of the administration? If possible, try to respect the pattern hereafter.

Judicial power in Latvia is vested in district (city) courts, regional courts, the Supreme Court and the Constitutional Court.

There are two types of district (city) courts and regional courts, namely, ordinary district (city) courts (in total – 34) and Administrative District Court as well as ordinary regional courts (in total – 5) and Administrative Regional Court. Ordinary district (city) courts and ordinary regional courts deal with civil matters and criminal matters.

A district (city) court is the court of first instance for civil matters, criminal matters, and matters which arise from administrative legal relations.

In *civil matters*, courts shall adjudge a trial, adjudicate and decide at sittings of the court matters concerning disputes, which are related to the protection of the civil rights, employment rights, family rights, and other rights and lawful interests of natural and legal persons.

In *criminal matters*, courts shall adjudge a trial, adjudicate and decide at sittings of the court the validity of charges brought against persons, and either acquit persons who are not guilty, or find persons guilty of committing a crime and impose punishment on them.

In *administrative matters,* courts shall adjudge a trial, adjudicate and decide at sittings of the court matters concerning administrative violations by persons, complaints by persons concerning the actions of State administrative institutions and officials, as well as other matters arising from administrative legal relations.

A Regional Court is the court of first instance for those civil matters and criminal matters, which are within the jurisdiction of regional courts in accordance with law, e.g., matters concerning property rights of real estate. Also a *Regional Court is a court of appeal* for civil matters, criminal matters and administrative matters, which have been adjudicated by a district (city) court, or by a single judge.

The *Supreme Court* is composed of *Senate* and two *judicial panels*: the Civil Matters Panel and the Criminal Matters Panel.

A *judicial panel* of the Court is the court of appeal for matters, which have been adjudicated, by regional courts as courts of first instance.

The *Senate* of the Supreme Court is the court of cassation for all matters, which have been adjudicated, by district (city) courts and regional courts. The Senate of the Supreme Court also is the court of first instance for matters concerning decisions of the Council of the State Audit Office, which are taken in accordance with the procedures of Section 21 of the Law On the State Audit Office. The Senate is composed of three departments: the Civil Matters Department, the Criminal Matters Department and the Administrative Matters Department.

The Constitutional Court is an independent institution of judicial power, which within the jurisdiction set forth in the Constitution of the Republic of Latvia and in The Constitutional Court Law, shall review cases concerning the compliance of laws and other legal norms with the Constitution, as well as other cases placed under its jurisdiction. The Constitutional Court of Latvia does not review the administrative acts.

There are no specialized courts, *inter alia* specialized administrative courts. The administrative courts in Latvia decide all types of matters which arise from administrative legal relations.

B – RULES GOVERNING COMPETENT BODIES

7. If the review of administrative acts and action lies within the competence of the ordinary courts, is that competence delimited by texts (such as a Constitution, or parliamentary legislation) or by case-law?

Administrative courts have exclusive jurisdiction to review administrative acts and actions.

8. If the review of administrative acts is carried out by administrative courts or tribunals, are the existence, competence and duties of those courts or tribunals governed by specific rules ? Are such rules set out in texts or in the case-law?

The status of the administrative courts is required by Constitution of the Republic of Latvia and by the Law on Judicial Power. The aims, duties and competence of the administrative courts are set out in Administrative Procedure Law.

C – INTERNAL ORGANIZATION AND COMPOSITION OF COMPETENT BODIES

9. If judicial review is assumed by ordinary courts, describe their internal organization and specify if they comprise specialized chambers, and how these are composed.

Judicial review is assumed by administrative courts.

10. If judicial review is assumed by administrative courts, present their internal organization. Distinguish between the highest and the lower courts. Could you provide a chart or a diagram?

Administrative matters shall be adjudicated on the merits by a court of first instance (Administrative District Court), but pursuant to a complaint of participants in an administrative proceeding regarding a judgment of such court, also by a court of second instance in accordance with appeal procedures (Administrative Regional Court). Participants in an administrative proceeding may appeal from a judgment of a court of second instance in accordance with cassation procedures. The cassation instance is the Administrative Matters Department of Supreme Court Senate.

Administrative District Court consists of the chairperson and 17 judges. Administrative Regional Court consists of the chairperson and nine judges.

As mentioned above the Supreme Court Senate consists of three departments – Civil Matters Department, Criminal Matters Department and Administrative Matters Department. Administrative Matters Department is headed by the chairperson who is appointed by the Plenary Session of the Supreme Court. In the department there are six judges included the chairperson.

D – JUDGES

11. Do the judges who review administrative acts belong to a specific category? Specify whether different categories of judges exist according to the various kinds of control of administrative authorities.

The general prerequisites (formation, conditions of nomination) for judges of administrative courts are similar to the general prerequisites for judges of ordinary courts. There are no different categories of judges according to the various kinds of control of administrative authorities.

12. How are judges in charge of judicial review of administrative authorities recruited?

The Minister for Justice shall nominate candidates to be appointed to or confirmed in the office of a judge of the district (city) court or of a judge of a regional court on the basis of the opinion of the Judicial Qualification Board. A candidate for confirmation to the office of a Judge of the Supreme Court shall be nominated by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, on the basis of an opinion of the Judicial Qualification Board.

Judges of a district (city) court shall be appointed to office by the Parliament, upon the recommendation of the Minister for Justice, for three years. After a judge of a district (city) court has held office for three years, the Parliament, upon the recommendation of the Minister for Justice, and on the basis of an opinion of the Judicial Qualifications Board, shall confirm him or her in office, for an unlimited term of office, or shall re-appoint him or her to office for a period of up to two years. After the expiration of the repeated term of office, the Parliament,

on the recommendation of the Minister for Justice, shall confirm in office a judge of a district (city) court for an unlimited term of office. If the work of a Judge is unsatisfactory, the Minister for Justice, in accordance with an opinion of the Judicial Qualification Board, shall not nominate a judge as a candidate for a repeated appointment to or confirmation in office.

Judge of a regional court shall be confirmed by the Parliament, upon a recommendation of the Minister for Justice, for an unlimited term of office. Justices of the Supreme Court, upon the recommendation of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, shall be confirmed in office by the Parliament, for an unlimited term of office. However a judge shall be removed from office if judge is reached the maximum age for fulfilling the office of a judge as specified by law. The maximum age for holding office as a judge of a district (city) court is 65 years, as a judge of a regional court, 65 years, but as a judge of the Supreme Court, 70 years. The Minister for Justice and the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court may extend the time for holding office as a judge for up to five years.

In Latvia in selecting a candidate for the office of a judge, the principle shall be observed that only Latvian citizens, who are highly qualified and fair lawyers, may work as judges. A candidate should fulfil various other conditions, e.g., he/she is fluent in the official language at the highest level, he/she has attained at least 30 years of age, he/she has acquired a higher legal education, he/she has at least five years length of service in a legal speciality and has passed qualification examinations.

13. What is the professional training of judges in general?

The procedures by which a candidate for a judge shall apprentice and take qualification examinations are determined by the Ministry of Justice. The time for apprenticeship is set at between one month to six months, taking into account the level of professional qualification of the candidate for the position of a judge.

The judges participate at different seminars and trainings organised by the Latvian Judge Training Centre (e.g., different questions and problems of administrative, civil and criminal matters) and take part at seminars organised by different entities (e.g., seminars about the banking system).

14. How is their career structure organized?

There is no organized particular career structure. Allocation of a judge to a higher court takes place following discussion of Chief Justices of correspondent courts and the Ministry of Justice. There is only a fixed order on supplements to the salaries of judges, which considers the categories of the qualification class.

15. How is their professional mobility organized?

A judge with his/her consent and the permission of the Chief Judge for specific time may be assigned to work in another court (also higher instance courts), the Ministry of Justice or an international organisation. A judge may be assigned to work in another institution for time, which is not less than three months, but does not exceed three years. During this time the judge may not exercise the duties of a judge in the court from which he or she is assigned to work in another institution. A judge in performing work in another institution shall receive a judge’s basic salary and supplements for the qualification class if only the institution has not taken over the obligation to pay the judge a salary. Work in another institution shall be counted for the length of service of the judge.

E – ROLE OF COMPETENT BODIES

16. What are the different kinds of recourse against administrative acts and action in your country?

A court shall render judgment having examined whether: 1) the administrative acts have been issued in compliance with procedural and formal preconditions; 2) the administrative acts comply with the norms of substantive law; and 3) the bases for administrative acts justify duties imposed on addressees or rights conferred, confirmed or denied to addressees. In assessing the legality of an administrative act, the court in its judgment shall have regard only to those facts referred to by the institution as the basis for the administrative act. In regard to actual actions of institutions, a court shall render judgment having examined whether the actual action has been carried out in compliance with procedural and formal preconditions, and whether it complies with the norms of substantive law.

If a court finds an application for setting aside an administrative act or declaring it invalid as well-founded, it set aside the relevant administrative act in full or in part or declares it invalid. In cases provided for by law a court may vary an administrative act and determine the specific substance thereof. If a court acknowledges the right of the applicant to compensation, it shall direct in the judgment that compensation be paid to the applicant and shall specify the amount thereof.

If a court finds an application regarding the issue of an administrative act to be well-founded, it instructs the institution to issue an appropriate administrative act. In the judgment the court specifies the substance of the administrative act and the time period for its issue if the institution is not still required to carry out consideration of its usefulness.

If a court finds an application requesting an actual action from an institution to be well-founded, it renders a judgment regarding the duty of the institution to carry out specific actions and specify the time period for the carrying out thereof. If a court finds an application, which requests that an institution be prohibited from carrying out a specific actual action, to be well-founded, the court shall render a judgment in which the institution is prohibited from carrying out the specific actual action.

If the subject-matter of an application is the determination of the existence or non-existence, or the substance of specific public legal relations, a court renders a judgment in which it shall be determined that the specific public legal relations exist or that they do not exist, or in which the substance of the specific public legal relations shall be determined (the rights and duties arising therefrom).

If an application regarding the setting aside of a public legal contract is recognised by a court as justified, it sets aside the relevant public legal contract fully or a part thereof. If an application regarding which an institution is requested to enter into a public legal contract is recognised by a court as justified, it renders a judgment regarding the duty of the institution to enter into a public legal contract and determines the time period for the fulfilment thereof. If the subject-matter of the application is the validity of a specific public legal contract, a court renders a judgment in which it is determined that the specific public legal contract is in effect or is not in effect. If the subject-matter of the application is the correctness of the fulfilment of a public legal contract, a court renders a judgment in which it is determined whether the public legal contract has been correctly fulfilled or how it should be correctly fulfilled. If a court acknowledges the right of the applicant to compensation, it directs in the judgment that compensation be paid to the applicant and specifies the amount thereof.

17. Do mechanisms exist for the delivery of a preliminary ruling?

Apart from the procedure under Article 234 of the Treaty establishing European Community an Administrative Court shall wait for a preliminary ruling delivered by another (civil, criminal, international) if the adjudicating of the matter is not possible until another matter has been decided in a court or an institution. In this case the Administrative Court stays the proceeding.

If a court acknowledges that a norm of law does not conform to the Constitution (*Satversme*) or norms (acts) of international law, it shall suspend court proceedings in the matter and send a substantiated application to the Constitutional Court. After the coming into force of the decision or judgment of the Constitutional Court, the court proceedings in the matter shall be renewed the following court proceedings shall be based upon the view of the Constitutional Court.

18. Does a competent body have only judicial functions or does it also have an advisory role vis-à-vis the executive or the legislature? In the affirmative, specify the various aspects of these consultative functions, and if they are exclusive to the body or the highest jurisdiction.

The administrative courts have only judicial functions, the advisory function for legislator or executive bodies is excluded. However in practice, opinions of the Supreme Court are often asked in the preparation of new normative acts.

19. Where the body plays both a judicial and an advisory role, how are its respective duties organised?

The administrative courts have no advisory role.

F – ALLOCATION OF DUTIES AND RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COMPETENT BODIES

20. Do the highest appeal courts have an instrument or a procedure to ensure the harmonised and uniform application and interpretation of law?

There are some particular procedures to ensure the harmonised and uniform application and interpretation of law in similar cases.

Under law the interpretation (construing) of the norms of law stated in a judgment of a court of cassation instance is mandatory for the court which adjudicates the matter *de novo*.

A judge may submit proposals on issues concerning the explanation of laws to a conference of judges, as well as directly to the Supreme Court. The conference of judges is a self-governing judicial institution and it shall examine current issues of court practice. All the judges of the Republic shall participate in its work.

The judges of the Supreme Court (judges of panels and departments) sitting in a Plenary Session could decide on the practice of interpretation and application of law. Those decisions are not legally binding for the lower courts, but judges could follow this expressed legal opinion.

The decisions which are significant for decision-making process are published on Supreme Court website.

See also Question #67 below.

II – HOW ARE ADMINISTRATIVE ACTS AND ACTIONS REVIEWED BY THE COURTS ?

A. ACCESS TO JUSTICE

21. How significant are the pre-conditions for access to the courts in your system of control of administrative authorities?

For every natural and legal person who brings an action before administrative court is essential that remedies in the proceeding before administrative authority are exhausted. Under Section 191 of the Administrative Procedure Law a judge shall refuse to accept an application if the applicant has not complied with preliminary extrajudicial examination procedures prescribed by law for such category of matter.

Every natural and legal person must also observe the terms stated by the law for bringing an action in administrative court. If a person misses the term stated by the law it is executed that the person has no right to submit an application in the court.

22. Who may bring a case before the court? (natural persons, legal entities such as associations, companies, etc., local authorities or other administrative bodies or authorities)

Every natural person, legal private person, association can bring an action before administrative court if their rights or legal interests have been infringed or may be infringed by a decision of administrative authority. There is also possible that the administrative proceeding is initiated between two "collectivités infra-étatiques", e.g., a local municipality enterprise can bring an action against state institution.

23. For every situation, specify the conditions that must be satisfied in order for an application for judicial review to be admissible?

No proves of infringement of rights and legal interests are required for admissibility. See also Question #22 above.

24. Is recourse to the courts subject to time-limits?

The recourse to the administrative court is a subject to time-limits. See also Question #21 above.

A procedural time period, which is to be calculated in years, months or days, shall commence on the day following the date or event pursuant to which its commencement is stipulated. A time period stipulated to run until a specific date expires on that date. Procedural actions for which a time period expires may be performed until midnight of the final day of the time period. If a document has been submitted to the communications authority (post office) on the last day of the time period by midnight, it shall be considered to have been submitted within the time period. If such action is to be performed in an institution or a court, the time period shall be considered to have expired at the hour when the relevant institution or court closes.

The right to perform procedural actions shall lapse after expiration of the time period stipulated by law, an institution, a court or a judge. A time period stipulated by an institution, a court or a judge may be extended pursuant to the petition of a participant in an

administrative proceeding. The court shall decide the issue in a court sitting upon prior notice to the participants in the administrative proceeding of the time and place of the sitting. Failure of such persons to attend is not an impediment to the deciding of the issue in court.

The terms of the bringing an action before the court are stated in the law: Administrative Procedure Law, Administrative Violations Code, and other special law.

In general an application regarding the issue, setting aside or validity of an administrative act may be submitted within one month from the day when the administrative act comes into effect. If it is not set out in the administrative act where and within what time period it may be appealed, in the cases referred to in the application may be submitted within a year from the day the administrative act comes into effect. An application regarding an actual action of an institution may be submitted within a year from the day when the applicant comes to know of the specific actual action of the institution, if a restriction regarding the time period is not prescribed by other laws or Cabinet regulations. If an institution or a higher institution has failed to notify the applicant of the decision regarding his or her submission, the application may be submitted to a court within a year from the day when the person applied with his or her submission to the institution or the higher institution.

25. Are there certain administrative acts or actions that are not open to review by the courts?

All categories of administrative acts or actions are subject of reviewing by the courts. See also Question #4 above.

26. Are applications for review by the courts subject to screening procedures? Distinguish between first instance, appeal, and highest jurisdiction.

There is no screening procedure accepting an application and initiating a court matter in the Administrative District Court. There is no such procedure also initiating appellate proceedings in the Administrative Regional Court. An application or an appellate complaint must be fulfilled only some formal requirements. A judge of the Administrative District Court or of Administrative Regional Court only estimates whether this formal requirements are fulfilled.

There is a screening procedure initiating cassation proceedings in the Administrative Matters Department of the Supreme Court Senate. The screening procedure involves a preliminary admission of the appeal decided by the collegium of three judges. The screening procedure in the Supreme Court takes place without hearing, but with summary statement of reasons. Decision to admit the cassation complaint or to reject (not accept) is decided by three judges (each member of the Administrative Matters Department).

The following criteria are used to decide on authorisation of cassation complaint (priority system): 1) formal criteria – whether the cassation complaint corresponds the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Law, it is, the time period for the lodging the cassation complaint, if the complainant has mentioned the violations (procedural and material) that has conceded the court of appeal etc.; 2) reasonable chance of a successful appeal before the Administrative Matters Department in the event of the appellant has not fulfilled the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Law but the judges realise the problem, mentioned in the complaint; 3) need to guarantee the uniformity of case law – only if the cassation procedure is initiated by the appellant.

27. How must the application be presented? Are there specific forms or is the applicant free to choose the format?

Applications shall be submitted in writing. In an application shall be set out: the name of the court to which the application is submitted; the given name, surname and place of residence or other address where the person is reachable, of the applicant, and of his or her representative if the application is submitted by a representative. If the applicant or his or her representative is a legal person, its name, registration number, if any, and the legal address shall be indicated; the name and address of the institution; the grounds for the application and evidence, if it is at the applicant's disposal; the claim; the amount of the claim, if it contains a claim for compensation for losses; a list of documents appended to the application, if they have been appended; and the place and time of the completion of the application.

The application shall be signed by the applicant or his or her representative. If the application is submitted on behalf of the applicant by a representative, he or she shall attach to the application an appropriate authorisation or other document which confirms the authorisation of the representative to submit the application.

An application shall have appended documents, which attest to the following: the payment of State fees; compliance with extrajudicial examination procedures, if such are prescribed by law; and the facts on which the claim is based. The application and the documents appended to it shall be submitted to the court, with as many copies as there are defendants and third parties in the matter. A judge, depending on the circumstances and nature of the matter, may relieve an applicant who is a natural person of the duty to submit copies of the application and documents appended to it for forwarding to defendants and third parties.

28. Has the possibility of bringing proceedings via the Internet been envisaged in your country or is it already possible? Are there reflections or plans for the introduction of tele-procedures or e-procedures (e-registry office)?

At present there is no possibility of bringing proceedings via the Internet. In the foreseeable future such procedure is not envisaged.

29. Is there a pecuniary charge for lodging an application for judicial review (in the form of stamp duty, tax, or registry fees)?

A State fee in the amount of ten lats (approx. 14 euro) shall be paid in regard to the submission of an application regarding initiation of a matter in court. A State fee in the amount of five lats (approx. 7 euro) shall be paid in regard to an appellate complaint. No payment of State fees is required in regard to cassation complaints or ancillary complaints.

Legal entities - Legal Entities Having the Right to Defend the Rights and Legal Interests of Private Persons - shall be exempt from payment of the State fee. If a previous legal entity withdraws an application which has been submitted in behalf of a person, but such person demands that the matter be adjudicated on the merits, the State fee shall be paid in accordance with general provisions.

A court or a judge, taking into account the financial situation of a natural person, may decrease the amount of the State fee.

30. Is recourse to a solicitor / lawyer or counsel compulsory?

There is no obligation to use the assistance of a lawyer/solicitor, barrister/advocate bringing an action before the administrative court.

31. As regards the costs of the proceedings, can they be paid through legal aid?

Under the Law on Legal Aid every citizen of Latvia, non-citizen, EU citizen (which is not a Latvian citizen), citizen of third person legally residing in Latvia, refuge and a person within some other categories mentioned in the Law holds the rights to a legal aid ensured by the State. The above-mentioned persons are rightful to the legal aid if taking note of their special situation, status of their property and incomes these persons cannot ensure their protection of rights. A State guarantees a legal aid to every person, which is indigent and has got a status of an indigent person.

The responsible institution for the legal aid is the Administration of Legal aid. The Administration's decision to award the legal aid or to refuse the legal aid is an administrative act. So this decision is a subject of reviewing by the Ministry of Justice and then by the administrative courts.

A provider (executor) of legal aid can be: 1) solicitor/lawyer, 2) barrister/advocate, 3) a bailiff; 4) a scholar in law; 5) natural person according to the Law.

32. Is there a fine for abusive or unjustified applications?

There is no fine for unjustified applications, but under Section 224 of the Administrative Procedure Law if there is no other evidence or the evidence is not sufficiently reliable, an applicant who is a natural person may, pursuant to the invitation of the court, affirm by an oath his or her explanations containing information concerning facts on which his or her claims or objections are based.

B. MAIN TRIAL

33. Which fundamental principles govern the main trial hearing? The right to inter partes proceedings, the rights of the defence/the right to a fair hearing, the balance of written and oral elements in the proceedings. Do these principles derive from national law (legislation or/and case-law) or European law (Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms for example) or both?

Under Section 4 of Administrative Procedure Law the following general principles of law shall be applied in administrative proceedings: 1) the principle of observance of the rights of private persons; 2) the principle of equality; 3) the principle of the rule of law; 4) the principle of reasonable application of the norms of law; 5) the principle of not allowing arbitrariness; 6) the principle of confidence in legality of actions; 7) the principle of lawful basis; 8) the principle of democratic structure; 9) the principle of proportionality; 10) the principle of priority of laws; and 11) the principle of procedural equity. In administrative proceedings, general principles of law not referred to in this Section, which have been discovered, derived or developed within institutional practice, or within jurisprudence, as well as legal science shall also be applied. Administrative acts, and the actual actions of institutions, shall comply with the general principles of law.

34. How is the judicial impartiality ensured in your country?

A judge, who has participated in the adjudicating of a matter in a court of first instance, may not participate in adjudicating of such matter in a court of appellate or cassation instance, or in re-adjudication of the matter in a court of first instance, if the adjudication rendered with participation of the judge has been set aside. A judge who has participated in the adjudicating of a matter in a court of appellate or cassation instance may not participate in an adjudication *de novo* of this matter in a court of first instance or of appellate instance. A judge who has participated in adjudication of a matter in a court of appellate instance may not participate in adjudication of this matter in a court of cassation instance, except in a case where the matter is adjudicated in Plenary session of the Administrative Department of the Senate.

35. After the application has been lodged, can the applicant rely on legal arguments raised for the first time in the course of the proceedings?

There is a possibility for the applicant to rely on legal arguments raised for the first time in the course of the proceedings.

36. Which other persons can intervene during the main hearing?

An applicant and third party can intervene the hearing. Third parties shall be admitted or invited to participate in the matter in accordance with a court decision. A third party who does not submit independent claims has the procedural rights and duties of an applicant and defendant, except the right to amend the basis or subject-matter of the application, withdraw the claim, admit a claim or require execution of the court judgment. A person should set out in a submission regarding invitation of a third party to participate in a matter and the submission of a third party regarding entering into a matter on the side of the applicant or the defendant why a third party should be allowed to participate in the matter. The court is obliged to invite as a participant in the administrative proceeding a person whose rights or legal interests may be infringed by the judgment of the court.

37. Is there a representative of the State ("ministère public") who may submit pleadings in cases concerning administrative law?

In cases provided for in law, public legal entities or public law legal persons (e.g., public prosecutor) have the right to submit a submission to an institution or an application to a court in order to defend the rights and legal interests of private persons. In Administrative Procedure Law those entities are called Legal Entities Having the Right to Defend the Rights and Legal Interests of Private Persons. A legal entity may become acquainted with the materials of a matter, apply for refusals or removals, provide explanations, submit evidence, participate in the examination of evidence, submit petitions, dispute and appeal administrative acts or actual actions, as well as carry out other procedural actions provided for by law, regarding submitters or applicants.

38. Is there, in your legal system, an institution or a person who plays a role analogous to that of role played by the French "commissaire du gouvernement" before the Conseil d'Etat, that is to say, who is completely independent and impartial and who delivers an opinion in open court, analysing the legal arguments and suggesting how the case ought properly to be disposed of in a case?

There is no such institution or a person in administrative procedure. But such person exists in civil matters. This function is executed by the public procurator.

39. How can proceedings come to an end before a decision is reached by the Court?

A court shall terminate judicial proceedings in a matter if: 1) the matter may not be adjudicated in accordance with the procedures regarding administrative proceedings; 2) an application is submitted by a person who does not have the right to submit the application; 3) a court judgment rendered in a matter between the same administrative proceedings participants, regarding the same subject-matter and on the same basis has come into effect; 4) the applicant withdraws his or her application; 5) rights regarding the disputed legal relations are not capable of being assumed following the death of the natural person who is the applicant in the matter; or 6) the legal person who is the applicant in the matter has ceased to exist and there is no successor in interest thereto.

If judicial proceedings are terminated, repeated application to the court against the same defendant regarding the same subject-matter and on the same basis shall not be permitted.

40. Does the court registry itself forward the various written applications and pleadings to the parties?

The court registry itself sends a copy of the application to the defendant or the third party (if it exists), the copy of the application or comments on the application made by the applicants or defendant – to the applicant, defendant or the third party.

41. Who is responsible for providing the evidence? The parties or the court?

An institution shall prove the facts upon which it relies as the grounds for its objections. An institution may only refer to those grounds that have been stated in an administrative act. An applicant, according to his or her capacity, shall participate in collecting evidence. If the evidence submitted by a participant in an administrative proceeding is not sufficient, the court shall collect it on its own initiative.

According to the Administrative Procedure Law within the course of administrative proceedings, while performing its duties, a court shall itself (*ex officio*) objectively determine the circumstances of a matter and provide a legal assessment of these, adjudicating the matter within a reasonable time.

There are some exceptions in cases concerned State taxes. As a paying of taxes is a duty of person, a person itself must provide the evidences that she or he has payed all necessary taxes. A person, challenging the tax authority's decision on collection of taxes, must prove that the arguments stated by the tax authority are not correct.

42. How is the hearing conducted? Is it public ? Can it take place in camera and in which circumstances ? Who can take part in the hearing and how (in writing, orally)?

The oral hearing in the administrative court is publicly held in a courtroom. The number of persons to be admitted to a courtroom shall be determined in accordance with the number of places in the courtroom. Relatives of the applicant or other persons invited by the applicant, and mass media representatives have priority rights in regard to being present at the adjudicating of a matter.

A court sitting shall be presided over by one of the judges who is participating in the adjudication of the matter. The chairperson of the court sitting shall so preside over the adjudicating of the matter that equal opportunity to participate in examining the facts of the

matter and the objective adjudicating of the matter is ensured for all participants in the administrative proceeding.

Before the adjudicating of matter, the court makes such actions – verification of attendance of summoned and summonsed persons, deciding on the participation of interpreters in a court sitting, explanation of rights and duties to participants in administrative proceedings, deciding on refusals and removals, explanation of rights and duties to experts, deciding petitions presented by participants in administrative proceedings.

Witnesses shall be excluded from a courtroom until commencement of their examination. The chairperson of the court sitting shall ensure that witnesses who have been examined by the court do not communicate with witnesses who have not been examined.

During adjudicating the matter the court takes the following steps: reads a report by a judge regarding the facts of the matter. After the report, the court shall determine whether the applicant maintains the claim contained in the application, and whether the defendant admits it; listens the explanations of participants, takes an oath of applicant, makes the questions to the parties, allows the questions between the parties, examines the evidence (examination of witnesses, reading out testimony of a witness, examination of expert opinion and examining experts, examination of documentary evidence, examination of demonstrative evidence, hearing opinions of authorities); terminates the adjudicating of a matter on the merits, discovers the part of debats and replications.

In administrative jurisdiction the hearing is not conducted in camera.

43. When and how is judicial deliberation conducted? Who can take part in it?

The judicial deliberation of the case begins after the debates and replications. Then the judges announce the end of the hearing (sitting) and go to the room (chamber) to make a judgment or decision. Nobody but the judges participating in the hearing can attend the deliberations. The deliberations include the voting.

Following the court argument and replies, if any, the court shall retire to render judgment, announcing this to the persons present in the courtroom and specifying the time when and place where the judgment is to be pronounced.

The court shall render judgment on the basis of the documents in the matter, in compliance with the procedures for rendering judgment prescribed by law.

C. JUDGEMENT

44. How are the grounds of the decision given? In details or more briefly?

The grounds of the decision are given in details. Judgments shall be legal and well-founded.

Judgments shall be drawn up in writing. A judgment shall consist of an introductory part, a descriptive part, a reasoned part and an operative part. In the introductory part shall be set out that the judgment has been rendered in the name of the people of Latvia, the time when the judgment is rendered, the name of the court which rendered the judgment, the court panel, the participants in the administrative proceeding and the subject-matter of the application. In the descriptive part shall be set out the claims of the applicant and the objections of the defendant, as well as the substance of the explanations given by the participants in the administrative proceeding. In the reasoned part shall be set out: 1) the facts determined in the matter, the

evidence upon which the court conclusions are based and the arguments pursuant to which one or more items of evidence have been rejected; 2) the norms of law on which the court has based itself; 3) legal assessment of the facts determined in the matter; 4) references to published court judgments and legal literature, as well as to other special literature, which has been used by the court in its reasoning; and 5) the court conclusions regarding the validity of the application. In the operative part shall be set out the judgment of the court regarding the allowing or the dismissal, in full or in part, of the application, and the substance of the judgment. Additionally, there shall be set out who is to pay State fees, the time periods specified in Section 253, 254 and 255 of Administrative Procedure Law, and the time period and procedures for appeal of the judgment.

45. What are the reference norms [international norms, European norms (Convention for the Protection of Human Rights, Community law), constitution, law, jurisprudence, personal conviction]?

As the justification of the judgment a court uses first of all national law and constitution. To make a judgment compatible with the norms of the Community law or of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights the court uses also these norms and interpretation of those norms given in European Court of Justice and European Court of Human Rights case-law.

46. Which criteria and methods of review are used by the court?

In cases the administrative authority is mandatory to make a decision (obligatory administrative act) provided by the law, the court carries out a formal control on the administrative authority's decision, i.e., the court controls the execution of formal prerequisites by the authority.

In cases the authority is rightful to choose whether to issue an administrative act or not to issue or of what content the administrative act to issue, the court controls not only formal aspects, but also legality and validity of administrative acts. It means, the court considers also the advantages and drawbacks of the administrative act, considers the citizen's rights, legal priciples, especially the principle of observance of the rights of person, principle of equality and others.

47. How are legal costs apportioned?

A State fee shall be repaid fully or partly in the following cases: 1) the fee paid exceeds the fee prescribed by law; 2) a judge refuses to accept the application; 3) judicial proceedings in the matter are terminated on the grounds that the matter may not be adjudicated in accordance with the procedures set out in this Law; or 4) the application is left without adjudication on the grounds that the applicant has not complied with prescribed extrajudicial examination procedures or the application has been submitted by a person lacking capacity to act or the application is left without adjudication pursuant to a petition of the applicant prior to commencement of the adjudication of the matter on the merits, but in a proceeding by way of written procedure – before the court sitting for pronouncement of the judgment has been set. A State fee shall be repaid if the application for its repayment has been submitted to the court within one year from the day when the relevant amount was paid into the State budget.

The reimbursement of legal aid (received from the legal advisors) is not provided in the Administrative Procedure Law.

48. Is it more usual for the case to be decided by a single judge or by a number of judges?

At a court of first instance, an administrative matter shall be adjudicated by a judge sitting alone. If the matter is particularly complicated, the chief judge of the court of first instance may stipulate that the matter be adjudicated collegially. In such case, the matter shall be adjudicated by a panel of three judges of the court of first instance. Administrative matters in courts of appellate or cassation instance shall be adjudicated collegially by panel of the tree judges of court of appeal or of court of cassation.

49. Where the case is heard by several judges, is the expression of individual judicial opinions allowed (dissenting opinions?)?

The dissenting opinion of the judge is not allowed.

50. Is the decision delivered in writing, or orally?

A judgment shall be pronounced at a court sitting by being read out by the chairperson of the court sitting. With the assent of the participants in the administrative proceeding the court may read out only the introductory part and the operative part of the judgment. In such case a copy of the court judgment shall be given to the participants in the administrative proceeding immediately after the pronouncement of the judgment. When pronouncing an abridged judgment, the court shall announce the date when a full judgment will be drawn up. After a judgment is pronounced, the court shall explain the substance thereof and the procedures and time periods for appeal therefrom.

If a matter has been adjudicated by way of written procedure, the participants in the proceedings shall be notified in good time of the date when a true copy of the judgment may be received from the office of the clerk of court. Such date shall be deemed the date of the pronouncement of the judgment.

D – EFFECTS OF DECISIONS AND EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT

51. What is the authority of the decision? Res judicata, stare decisis?

A decision of court is legally binding to the applicant and the administration authority as well as to other participants in particular administrative proceedings.

The interpretation (construing) of the norms of law stated in a judgment of a court of cassation instance (Supreme Court Senate) is mandatory for the court which adjudicates the matter *de novo*.

If the decision of court was based on the same facts and on the same legal issues as in present case, administrative authority and court can follow this interpretation.

52. Can the court limit the effects of the judgment in time?

Under law administrative court can not limit the effect of judgement in time.

53. Is the right to the execution of judicial decision guaranteed in your country? Specify if it is unformally guaranteed, or through a specific judicial procedure. Indicate if there is a distinction between implementation of the judgment by administrative authorities and implementation of the judgment by private persons. Specify if the court has the power of injunction, possibly completed by coercive fine, in order to secure compliance with the judicial decision.

The right of execution of judicial decision is guaranteed by Administrative Procedure Law (Division Nine "Execution of Court Adjudications") and other laws (e.g., in the field of tax there is a particular regulation).

It is the duty of an institution to properly and in good time to execute a judgment or other decision (adjudication) directed against it, rendered or taken by a court in an administrative matter. An institution may not act contrary to a court judgment. If an institution does not execute a court adjudication voluntarily, compulsory execution shall be directed at the institution. The complaint of a participant in the administrative proceedings which has arisen in connection with the execution of a court adjudication are adjudicated in a court sitting.

Under Administrative Procedure Law the Cabinet may specify an executive institution which shall perform compulsory execution of a court adjudication. If an executive institution having jurisdiction has not been determined, compulsory execution shall be performed by the ministry to which the institution which has issued the administrative act is subordinate. If the institution which has issued the administrative act is not subordinate to any ministry and another executive institution has not been determined by the Cabinet or prescribed by law, compulsory execution shall be performed by a bailiff, who applies to such compulsory execution the provisions of the Civil Procedure Law.

Under Administrative Procedure Law if until the commencement of compulsory execution the court adjudication has not been executed voluntarily, and not more than three years have elapsed since the court adjudication came into effect, a decision of court might be executed on a compulsory basis by means of substitute execution or pecuniary penalty. A decision of court may be executed by means of substitute execute if a decision of court imposes a duty on an institution to perform a specific action, which may practically and legally be performed also by an executive institution or another authority. A pecuniary penalty may be imposed on the head or another official of the institution if a decision of court imposes a duty on an institution to perform a specific action or refrain from a specific action and the institution does not fulfil such duty.

54. Is there a policy in your country to reduce the length of time needed for the proper disposal of cases before the courts? If so, how is that policy implemented?

There is no particular policy to reduce the length of time needed for the proper disposal of cases before the courts, with exception in protection of right of child. Under Protection of the Rights of the Child Law the Ministry of Justice shall ensure that court work is organised so that priority consideration shall be applicable in the adjudication of matters associated with the protection of the rights and the best interests of the child.

The Administrative Procedure law does not stipulate any period in which the case should be adjudicated. However administrative courts seeking adjudicate a matter in reasonable time. See also Question #73 below.

E – REMEDIES

55. How are various functions or/and competencies shared out between the lower courts and the supreme courts?

Generally the court of first instance (Administrative District Court) and court of appeal (Administrative Regional Court) has the same functions, i.e., in adjudicating a matter, shall itself examine the evidence in the matter. An appellate instance court shall adjudicate only such claims as have been adjudicated by a court of first instance.

Inasmuch as Supreme Court is court of cassation in administrative matters, it has different function, i.e., in adjudicating a matter by way of cassation procedure, a court shall examine the legality of the existing judgment in the appealed part thereof in relation to a participant in the administrative proceeding who has appealed the judgment or joined in a cassation complaint, and also the arguments which are referred to in a cassation complaint.

Under Administrative Procedure Law there are no provisions that particular functions are allotted only to lower jurisdiction or others reserved only to highest jurisdiction. There are two exceptions from a procedure mentioned before.

Under The Law on Judicial Power the Supreme Court Senate is the court of first instance for matters concerning decisions of the Council of the State Audit Office, which are taken in accordance with the procedures of Section 55 of the Law on the State Audit Office. Under Immigration Law person may lodge application against the decision regarding including an alien in the List of those persons for whom the entry in the Republic of Latvia is prohibited (taken by the Minister for the Interior). In that case Supreme Court Senate also is the court of first instance.

56. Are there remedies to challenge a judgment before a higher court? Describe these remedies and their functioning.

See Question #55 above.

F. – EMERGENCY PROCEEDINGS AND SUMMARY JURISDICTION / APPLICATIONS FOR INTERIM RELIEF

57. Are there emergency and summary jurisdiction proceedings?

There are no summery of jurisdiction proceedings.

Under Administrative Procedure Law Submission of an application to the court regarding the setting aside of an administrative act or declaring it as having ceased to be in effect or invalid, stays the operation of the administrative act from the day the application is submitted. However regarding to some matters provision mentioned before is not applicable (e.g., 1) the administrative act imposes a duty to pay tax, duties or another payment into the State or a local government budget; 2) the institution, setting out grounds for urgency of execution in respect of the specific matter, has specifically provided in the administrative act that it shall be executed without delay; 3) an administrative act of the police, border guard, national guard, fire-fighting service and other officials authorised by law is issued with the aim of immediate prevention of direct danger to State security, public order, or the life, health or property of persons). In that case a person may petition the court, providing reasons for his or her petition, to stay the operation of the administrative act. The court shall adjudicate the petition within seven days.

If there is a reason to believe that execution of a court judgment in a matter may become problematic or impossible, a court may, pursuant to the reasoned request of an applicant, take a decision regarding provisional regulation. Provisional regulation may be applied at any stage of the matter. Means of provisional regulation may be as 1) a court decision which, pending judgment of the court, substitutes for the requested administrative act or actual action of the institution, or 2) a court decision which imposes an duty on the relevant institution to carry out a specific action within a specified time period or prohibits a specific action. An application for provisional regulation adjudicates in a court sitting.

58. What types of requests can be made to the emergency and summary jurisdictions? Ascertainment of a situation? The obligation for administrative authorities to communicate a document? The suspension of the execution of an administrative act? The payment of a provision?

Under Administrative Procedure Law if a person has a reason to believe that the submitting of the evidence necessary for him/her may later be impossible or problematic, they may petition the court to secure such evidence. An application regarding securing of evidence may be submitted either before initiation of court proceedings or during the adjudicating of a matter.

See also Question #57 above.

59. Are there different kinds of summary jurisdiction? General or specific to certain litigants?

There are no summery of jurisdiction proceedings.

III – CAN ADMINISTRATIVE DISPUTES BE SETTLED BY NON-JUDICIAL BODIES ?

60. Can disputes be settled by administrative authorities themselves? How?

Administrative authority may revoke a legal administrative act unfavourable to an addressee at any time, except for the case where in accordance with the norms of law it would be required that an administrative act of the same content immediately be issued anew. Administrative authority may revoke legal administrative act favourable to the addressee if certainty circumstances, which are indicated by law, exist. Administrative authority may revoke an unlawful administrative act unfavourable to the addressee at any time. Authority may revoke An administrative act favourable to the addressee if certainty circumstances which are indicated by law exist.

Administrative authority may revoke an administrative act on the basis of a submission of the addressee or pursuant to its own initiative.

61. Can administrative disputes be settled by independent bodies (offices, agencies, ombudsman, mediators, regulation authorities)?

Administrative disputes can not be settled by independent bodies.

62. Can administrative disputes be resolved by means other than recourse to the courts?

Administrative disputes can not be resolved by means other than recourse to the courts.

IV – ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE AND STATISTIC DATA

A – Financial resources made available for the review of administrative acts?

63. On average, what proportion of the State budget is allocated to the administration of justice? Specify for administrative justice when it exists and is distinguished from ordinary justice.

The allocations for the administration of justice are determined by the law of State budget for each year. The approximate percentage of allocations from State budget for three years is shown in the table.

	Ministry of Justice	Courts			Supreme Court (including Administrative Matters Department)
		Courts Administrations	District and regional courts (civil and criminal courts)	Administrative district and regional court	
2005	2,6%	0,04%	0,51%	0,03%	0,06%
2004	1,85%	0,03%	0,57%	0,04%	0,05%
2003	2,03%	0,58%*			0,05%

*The Courts Administration, administrative courts and Administrative Matters Department did not exist during the year 2003. The given percentage of allocations relates to the ordinary (civil and criminal) district (city) courts and regional courts.

64. Specify the total number of magistrates and judges working within the legal system concerned.

	Judges in ordinary (civil and criminal) district courts	Judges in ordinary regional courts	Judges working in Land Registry	Judges in administrative courts
Staff seats	234	114	73	33
Completed staff seats	221	109	62	29

65. What percentage of judges is assigned to the review of administrative authorities?

See Question #64 above.

66. Apart from registry staff, are judges helped by assistants in their research and decisions? Specify the number of assistants (overall and per judge) and their professional training (university, the Bar, etc.).

Each judge in administrative courts has one assistant. The judicial assistants are masters or bachelors of judicial sciences, sometimes they are students of the final courses of the university. See below chart of number of judges and assistants in administrative courts.

Court	Number of judges	Number of judicial assistants
Administrative District Court	17	18

Administrative Regional Court	9	9 assistants + 1 assistant for the court chairperson
Administrative Matters Department of Supreme Court Senate	6	6

67. Do you have a library, and what kind of works and documentary resources can be found there?

The judicial literature and different codifications of law is accessible in the Division on Dissemination of Court Opinions of Supreme Court and Decisions of a Plenary Session. The Division on Dissemination of Court Opinions and Decisions of a Plenary Session prepares the compilations of Latvian court decisions. The compilations are sent to all the courts of Latvia and are published in the internet home page of the Supreme Court of Latvia (www.at.gov.lv).

The Supreme Court has also its own museum where it is possible to find different books, scientific articles concerning the law written before the Latvia's incorporation in USSR.

68. Do you have access to information technology? In which proportion? And for which kind of task (file management, data bases, computer assistance for writting decisions?)

Every judge and judicial assistant has access to information technology – internet, different public data bases like Resident Registry, Land Registry, Enterprise Registry and private data bases (the data base of normative acts, the data base of court decisions) and internal data base - data base of court decisions intended for internal (court system) use only.

69. Do competent bodies and courts have a website to publicise themselves and to communicate with the public?

The Supreme Court has its own website (www.at.gov.lv). The Administrative District Court and The Administrative Regional Court have not web-sites. Their addresses, phone numbers and staff information is published on the general web-site of courts (www.tiesas.lv).

B. Other statistics and figures

70. How many new applications are registered every year with the court registry or the authority in charge of registering them?

	Administrative District Court	**Administrative Regional Court**	**Administrative Matters Department of Supreme Court Senate**
2005 (1st half)	3234 (01.01.2005-13.10.2005)	667	270
2004	3443	1012	354

71. How many cases are heard every year by the court or other competent bodies?

	Administrative District Court	Administrative Regional Court	Administrative Matters Department of Supreme Court Senate
2005 (1st half)	1585 (01.01.2005.-13.10.2005)	382	219
2004	1038	406	272

72. Could you provide figures concerning cases currently lodged with courts or competent bodies which have not yet been disposed of?

	Administrative District Court	Administrative Regional Court	Administrative Matters Department of Supreme Court Senate
2005 (1st half)	1649 (01.01.2005.-13.10.2005)	991	120
2004	2405	706	82

73. What is the average time taken between the lodging of a claim and judgement?

Administrative District Court: from three to twelve month.

Under law: 1) 3 days to decide whether to accept an application lodged before the court; 2) maximum 14 days to wait the explanatory notes from the defendant; 3) a period for preparing the case and to decide on the date of the hearing/sitting – no terms mentioned in the law; 4) in cases of announcing the contracted judgment 10 days to prepare full judgment.

Administrative Regional Court: from three to twelve month.

Under law: 1) 3 days to announce the participants that an appeal is lodged before the court; 2) 30 days to wait the explanatory notes from the other participant (opposite side) of the case; 3) deciding on to accept or not to accept the appeal and deciding on the date of the hearing/sitting – the term is not mentioned in law; 4) in cases of announcing the contracted judgment 10 days to prepare full judgment.

Administrative Matters Department of Supreme Court Senate: in 2004 and 2005 the average duration of appellate proceedings at the Administrative Matters Department was 6 months. Above mentioned time period starting from the day when the case was received from the Administrative Regional Court.

74. Indicate the percentage and rate of the annulment of administrative acts decisions against administrative authorities by the lower courts. [5 lines]

Administrative District Court: N/A

Administrative Regional Court: N/A

75. Could you indicate the volume of litigation per field (asylum, foreigners, tax, urban planning, etc.)?

The below given numbers in chart are concerning the cases already reviewed by the courts.

	Administrative District Court	**Administrative Regional Court**	**Administrative Matters of Department Supreme Court Senate**
Cases corncerning tax authority's decisions	308 (in 2004) 342 (01.01.2005-13.10.2005.)	117 (in 2004) 166 (in 1st half of 2005)	98 (in 2004) 78 (in 1st half of 2005)
Cases concerning decisions of local governments	242 397	29 32	38 15
Cases concerning decisions on refugees, foreigners, asylum	31 25	9 15	17 17
Cases concerning decisions of social authorities	51 39	22 23	26 14
Cases concerning decisions of other institutions	448 819	272 151	62 30
			The overstock from the total number of the cases reviewed during 2004 and 1st half of 2005 are matters concerning ancillary complaints.

C. The economics of administrative justice

76. Do studies by researchers or work produced by practitioners demonstrate particular concerns by the courts, for example about orders for damages ; do they deal with the influence of heavy awards against administrative authorities on public budgets ? Do they consider the implications of their decisions in terms of costs for public finances?

N/A